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ABSTRACT
This paper presents a new approach to the adaptation of a wavelet
filterbank based on perceptual and rate-distortion criteria. The sys-
tem makes use of a wavelet-packet transform where each subband
can have an individual time-segmentation. Boundary effects can
be avoided by using overlapping blocks of samples and therefore
switching bases is possible at every tree-level without affecting
other subbands. A modified psychoacoustic model using perceptu-
al entropy can control the switching of the wavelet filterbank
and the individual time-segmentation of every subband allows
to take advantage of temporal masking. Additionally a rate-distortion
measure can control the filterbank for lossless audio coding appli-
cations or in cases where large coding gains can be achieved with-
out using perceptual criteria. The weight of the perceptual measure
as well as the weight of the rate-distortion measure can be selected
individually, enabling to trade lossless coding versus perceptual
coding.

1. INTRODUCTION
In the last few years, many high quality audio compression algo-
rithms have been developed. Some make use of uniform polyphase
filterbanks and others are based on modified discrete cosine trans-
forms [1], using window switching. Although window switching
will help to minimize blocking artifacts such as pre-echoes, spec-
tral distortion at the frame-boundaries cannot be avoided. Some
algorithms use lapped orthogonal transforms [2], [3], [4] and many
proposals for wavelet-based audio coding schemes [5], [6], [7]
have been published recently. Uniform polyphase filterbanks can
be implemented efficiently, they do not approximate the human
auditory system well and they do not offer large coding gains in
a rate-distortion metric. Transform coders use block-based pro-
cessing and show spectral distortion at the block-boundaries as
well as pre-echo phenomena. The variety of existing musical in-
struments such as castanets, harpsichord or pitch-pipe exhibiting
various coding requirements due to their completely different tem-
poral and spectral fine-structure, suggest to use a filterbank with
variable time-frequency resolution. Wavelet-filterbanks are known
for a flexible time-frequency tiling but most wavelet-based audio
coding algorithms are focussed to mimic the response of the hu-
man auditory system. Although a frequency resolution of the fil-
terbank equal to the human auditory system will allow to apply
frequency-domain masking accurately because every critical band
has a dedicated quantizer, such a system does not optimize the cod-
ing gain in a rate-distortion sense. Additionally this approach im-
plies that the signal energy is spread over the full audio-bandwidth
and therefore does not allow to allocate subband resources for bet-
ter spectral or temporal resolution in case of momentarily bandlim-
ited input signals. Best-basis search algorithms in a rate distor-
tion sense for wavelet-packet transforms have been published for
a fixed time-segmentation [8] as "single-tree" algorithm as well for
variable time-segmentation over all subbands as "double-tree"
algorithm [9]. We extended these techniques to a variable time-
segmentation in every subband [10]. This framework allows to
individually switch nodes of the wavelet-packet tree at completely
different locations in time without affecting other nodes of the tree.
The approach is well adapted to musical notation. In order to track
each individual note, a flexible time-segmentation of every sub-
band must be achieved and the position and the width of the sub-
band in terms of pitch must be altered as well.

2. SIGNAL ADAPTIVE WAVELET-FILTERBANK
2.1. Boundary Conditions
Tree-structured wavelet-packets provide a set of orthonormal bases
in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. A wavelet-decomposition can be written in matrix
form, using infinite matrices:

$$ y_\infty = A_\infty x_\infty $$

With the infinite matrix $A_\infty$:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
\vdots \\
l[n] & l[n - 1] & \ldots & l[1] \\
h[n] & h[n - 1] & \ldots & h[1] \\
de[n] & de[n - 1] & \ldots & de[1] \\
h[n] & h[n - 1] & \ldots & h[1] \\
\vdots
\end{pmatrix}
$$

For finite length signals, we selected the finite length sub-matrix \( A \) to the form:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
I_f(n) & I_f(n-1) & \ldots & I_f[1] \\
h_f(n)h_f(n-1) & \ldots & h_f[1] \\
I_f(n) & I_f(n-1) & \ldots & I_f[1] \\
h_f(n)h_f(n-1) & \ldots & h_f[1] \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
I_f(n) & I_f(n-1) & \ldots & I_f[1] \\
h_f(n)h_f(n-1) & \ldots & h_f[1]
\end{pmatrix}
\]

For the decomposition of a signal-vector of length \( k \), \( A \) has \( k \) columns and \( k - (N - 2) \) rows for a given filterlength \( N \). The decomposed signal \( y \) therefore can be written in matrix form: \( y = Ax \).

For the reconstruction, the synthesis matrix \( B \) is chosen to be a submatrix of \( A \). \( B \) has \( k - (N - 2) \) columns and \( k - 2(N - 2) \) rows:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
l_s[n] & l_s[n-1] & \ldots & l_s[1] \\
h_s[n]h_s[n-1] & \ldots & h_s[1] \\
l_s[n] & l_s[n-1] & \ldots & l_s[1] \\
h_s[n]h_s[n-1] & \ldots & h_s[1] \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
l_s[n] & l_s[n-1] & \ldots & l_s[1] \\
h_s[n]h_s[n-1] & \ldots & h_s[1]
\end{pmatrix}
\]

The reconstructed output vector \( \hat{x} \) is of the form: \( \hat{x} = By \)

\[
\hat{x} = \begin{pmatrix}
x[1] \\
x[2] \\
x[3] \\
\vdots \\
x[k-2(N-2)]
\end{pmatrix}
\text{ and } AB = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and it can be shown [10] that \( k - 2(N - 2) \) samples out of the \( k \) samples of \( x \) can be reconstructed perfectly. Such a framework allows to process overlapping blocks of input samples in order to reconstruct the signal perfectly. In contrast to windowing-methods used in transform-coders or implementations using boundary-wavelets, no spectral distortion at the frame-boundaries can occur. Extending this method to the full wavelet-packet-tree of depth \( L \), a common time-measure for switching the basis at all nodes of the wavelet-packet tree can be defined.

### 2.2. Switching Bases

By implementing a sophisticated memory management, a framework can be realized which allows to up- and down-switch the basis at every level of the tree. It is evident that for tree-levels near the root, the basis can be switched more frequently which matches a requested high temporal resolution in the upper frequency bands whereas at the lowest tree-level with narrow subbands, a lower temporal switching-resolution due to fewer available samples can be tolerated. All nodes of the wavelet-packet tree can be switched individually and the filterbank can fully adapt to the signal, depending on different criteria.

### 2.3. Choice of the wavelet

The length and the choice of the wavelet are not only important for the frequency selectivity and the time-resolution of the wavelet-filterbank but as we have shown, the length \( N \) of the filter will influence the switching of the filterbank directly. \( N \) should be chosen as small as possible in order to guarantee high time-resolution and a maximum of possible up- and down-switching positions per input-block. Additionally, the wavelet should have a maximum of vanishing moments. In contrast, tree-structured filterbanks tend to have a limited frequency separation of the individual subbands due to the iteration of the filter within the tree. Although wavelet-transforms can have perfect reconstruction, care has to be taken in the case of a perceptual audio coder. A wavelet-based perceptual audio coder will require some quantizing of the wavelet coefficients and therefore unmasked, aliased quantization noise may appear in side-lobes of the subband filters. Therefore sufficient stopband attenuation of the subband filters is required and longer FIR-filters are needed. A compromise between the requirement for high frequency separation between adjacent bands and high temporal resolution has to be found and it turned out that Daubechies wavelets of length \( N = 20 \) and Beytikin wavelets of similar lengths are valid candidates.

### 3. BEST BASIS SEARCH

Having developed a framework for the individual switching of each node of the wavelet-packet tree, a measure on how to find the best basis for each signal interval has to be evaluated.
3.1. Best basis search using a rate-distortion measure

Best basis search algorithms have been published [11] and some of them make use of a least mean square error or a one-sided entropy metric. The momentary entropy in subband \( j \) at level \( i \) of the wavelet-packet tree is:

\[
\text{entropy}_{ij}[k] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} - \log_2(p_{ij}[k][\text{quantized}_{ij}[k-n+1]])
\]

The reason we have chosen a common time measure for the up- and down-switching of every node now becomes obvious. In order to compare the entropy in every subband, we need to scale the entropy according to the number of samples in each subband. The scaled entropy in each subband is computed using a sliding window and a forgetting-factor for past samples before becoming part of a cost-function for every subband. The overall costs are compared for the parent node and both children nodes and depending on the result, the basis is switched up or down accordingly. The same principle can be used if the scaled energy in every subband is used as a reference for switching the basis. Although the one-sided metrics such as entropy and energy do work well for fixed quantizers, they are not optimal in a rate-distortion sense. In [12], a method has been presented which jointly finds the optimal basis and the optimal quantization using the Langrangian cost function:

\[
J(\lambda) = D + \lambda R
\]

It can be shown that R-D optimality can be achieved when all leaves of the wavelet packet tree operate at a constant slope on their R-D curves. This approach will give best results in a rate-distortion sense, but it does not take any perceptual criteria into consideration.

3.2. Best basis search using a perceptual measure

For a perceptual measure, masking effects of the human auditory system become very important. In frequency domain masking, a strong noise or a strong tone masker will mask the noise or the tone of the masker [13]. All signals which are below the masking threshold will not be perceived by the human auditory system and therefore quantization noise in every subband can be as high as the masking threshold permits. In a subband coding system, every subband has an individual quantizer. It may be an advantage to have a subband decomposition equal to the critical bands of the human auditory system in order to profit of in-band masking. But again a flexible frequency tiling will enable to take care of inter-band masking (e.g. masking across critical bands). Masking also occurs in the time domain. In the presence of abrupt signal transients, a listener will not perceive signals beneath the audibility threshold in the pre- and post-masking regions. Only a few available percep-

![Figure 4: Frequency domain masking showing the masking threshold](image)

![Figure 5: Temporal masking](image)
4. WEIGHTED COST FUNCTION

As it has been pointed out in the introduction, audio signals can have completely different temporal and spectral structure. Combining the rate-distortion measure and the perceptual measure in a weighted cost-function enables to cover applications such as lossless audio coding for archiving and audio-on-demand applications on the Internet with the very same coding scheme. Depending on the weight of the individual measures, the filterbank will adapt either in a rate-distortion sense or alternatively in a perceptual sense. Care has to be taken because these measures are not additive in terms of overall costs. The rate-distortion measure will operate in every subband but for the perceptual measure, a more global analysis in terms of frequency domain masking and temporal masking is used. An additional input to the cost-function is based on the complexity of the algorithm. As pointed out in section 2.2, switching the basis will cause additional costs due to the redundant samples necessary for the reconstruction. If the complexity is to be kept as low as possible, switching the basis may be prohibited if the overall improvement in coding gain is rather small. Additionally, a "grid-function" for the switching can be set in order to avoid multiple up- and down-switching of the basis within a short segment of time.

5. RESULTS

The signal-adaptive wavelet-filterbank including the analysis based on a weighted cost-function has been implemented in MATLAB and C++. Several experiments and tests have been carried out in collaboration with the Swiss Broadcasting Company SRG. Although a simple uniform quantizer rather than the optimal quantizer resulting from the rate-distortion analysis have been used for these first tests, results are very promising. Artifacts such as pre-echoes completely disappeared when comparing with other coding schemes. The need for a signal-adaptive filterbank has been confirmed by a careful analysis of the switching activities of the filterbank. Further research activities will include the implementation of an adaptive quantizer and an entropy coding scheme.

6. CONCLUSIONS

A novel approach to a signal-adaptive filterbank for audio coding applications has been presented in this paper. In contrast to existing audio coding schemes, the algorithm allows individual time segmentation in every subband and every node of the wavelet-packet tree can be switched up- and down in order to increase the coding gain. A weighted cost function allows to optimize the filterbank based on a perceptual or a rate-distortion measure. This system can perform lossless compression, near-lossless compression or perceptual compression of audio signals, depending on the weights which have been selected for the cost function. The cost-function additionally takes other parameters such as computational complexity and overall coding delay into consideration.

7. REFERENCES


