



Exercise 10 of November 23, 2016

<http://www.isi.ee.ethz.ch/teaching/courses/it1/>

Problem 1

Channel Coding for a “Double”-Channel

Consider two memoryless channels $W^{(1)}(y^{(1)}|x)$ and $W^{(2)}(y^{(2)}|x)$ defined over a common input alphabet \mathcal{X} and over possibly different output alphabets $\mathcal{Y}^{(1)}, \mathcal{Y}^{(2)}$. Let $Q(\cdot)$ be some input distribution on \mathcal{X} and let

$$R < \min \{I(Q, W^{(1)}), I(Q, W^{(2)})\},$$

so that R is smaller than the mutual information corresponding to the input distribution $Q(\cdot)$ on each channel. Given any $\epsilon > 0$, prove that for every sufficiently large blocklength n there exists a rate- R codebook \mathcal{C} and two decoders

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^{(1)}: (\mathcal{Y}^{(1)})^n &\rightarrow \{1, \dots, 2^{nR}\} \\ \psi^{(2)}: (\mathcal{Y}^{(2)})^n &\rightarrow \{1, \dots, 2^{nR}\}\end{aligned}$$

such that when the codebook is used over channel ν with decoder $\psi^{(\nu)}$, the maximal block error probability is smaller than ϵ for $\nu = 1, 2$.

Note: Each channel has a different decoder, but you must show that there exists *one* codebook that is good for both channels.

Problem 2

Zero-Error Capacity

A channel with alphabet $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ has transition probabilities of the form

$$W(y|x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } y = x \pm 1 \pmod{5}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- Compute the capacity of this channel in bits.
- The zero-error capacity of a channel is the number of bits per channel use that can be transmitted with zero probability of error. Clearly, the zero-error capacity of this pentagonal channel is at least 1 bit (transmit 0 or 1 with probability $\frac{1}{2}$). Find a block code that shows that the zero-error capacity is greater than 1 bit. Can you estimate the exact value of the zero-error capacity?

Hint: Consider codes of length 2 for this channel.

The zero-error capacity of this channel was found by Lovász.

Problem 3**Symbol Error Rate vs. Message Error Rate**

In proving the converse to the channel coding theorem we demonstrated that $R > C$ precludes the possibility that as the blocklength n tends to infinity

$$\Pr[(X_1, \dots, X_n) \neq (\hat{X}_1, \dots, \hat{X}_n)] \rightarrow 0. \quad (1)$$

Here $(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \mathbf{X}(M) = f(M)$ is the transmitted n -tuple and $(\hat{X}_1, \dots, \hat{X}_n) = f(\hat{M})$, where \hat{M} is the decoder's output, and $f(\cdot)$ is assumed to be one-to-one.

In this problem you are asked to prove a stronger statement, namely that $R > C$ precludes the possibility that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \Pr[X_k \neq \hat{X}_k] \rightarrow 0.$$

- Show that you are indeed asked to prove a stronger statement by showing that the converse proved in class follows from the converse that you are about to prove in this problem.
- Justify the following proof of a stronger version of Fano's inequality: Let U_1, \dots, U_n and Z_1, \dots, Z_n be two sequences of random variables taking values in a finite set of cardinality K . Let

$$P_{e,i} = \Pr[U_i \neq Z_i], \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

and

$$P_b = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n P_{e,i}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} H(U_1, \dots, U_n | Z_1, \dots, Z_n) &\stackrel{(i)}{=} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n H(U_i | U_1, \dots, U_{i-1}, Z_1, \dots, Z_n) \\ &\stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n H(U_i | Z_1, \dots, Z_n) \\ &\stackrel{(iii)}{\leq} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n H_b(P_{e,i}) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n P_{e,i} \log(K-1) \\ &\stackrel{(iv)}{\leq} H_b\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n P_{e,i}\right) + \log(K-1) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n P_{e,i} \\ &\stackrel{(v)}{=} H_b(P_b) + P_b \log(K-1). \end{aligned}$$

- Prove the stronger version of the converse to the channel coding theorem using this new form of Fano's inequality.

Problem 4**An Elementary Converse for the Binary Erasure Channel**

Consider a binary erasure channel with erasure probability $\rho \in [0, 1]$. Let the positive integer n be the blocklength and \mathcal{M} the message set of cardinality $|\mathcal{M}| = 2^{nR}$, where R is the rate of the code. Let $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^n$ be the encoding function, and let $\phi: \mathcal{Y}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be the decoding function. For every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ define the binary random variable

$$S_i = \begin{cases} 0 & Y_i \in \{0, 1\}, \\ 1 & Y_i = ?, \end{cases}$$

and for $l \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ denote the set of binary length- n sequences with l ones by \mathcal{F}_l

$$\mathcal{F}_l = \left\{ \mathbf{s} \in \{0, 1\}^n : \sum_{i=1}^n s_i = l \right\}.$$

Observe that the random variables $\{S_i\}$ are IID and independent of the channel input sequence X_1^n , i.e., irrespective of the binary sequences $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s} \in \{0, 1\}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[S_1^n = \mathbf{s} | X_1^n = \mathbf{x}] &= \prod_{i=1}^n \Pr[S_i = s_i] \\ &= \rho^{\sum_{i=1}^n S_i} (1 - \rho)^{n - \sum_{i=1}^n S_i}. \end{aligned}$$

- a) Show that for any number $\kappa \in [0, 1]$ such that $n\kappa$ is a nonnegative integer the following statement holds: Conditional on the event that the number of erasures after n channel uses is $n\kappa$, i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n S_i = n\kappa,$$

the average probability of a decoding error

$$P_e(\mathcal{F}_{n\kappa}) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{M}|} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} \Pr[\phi(Y_1^n) \neq m | X_1^n = g(m), S_1^n \in \mathcal{F}_{n\kappa}]$$

satisfies the lower bound

$$P_e(\mathcal{F}_{n\kappa}) \geq \frac{2^{nR} - 2^{n(1-\kappa)}}{2^{nR}}.$$

- b) Conclude from Part a) and the Law of Large Numbers that for $R > 1 - \rho$ and for every number $\epsilon > 0$ there is a positive integer N such that for every block length $n \geq N$ the average probability of a decoding error

$$P_e^{(n)} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{M}|} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} \Pr[\phi(Y_1^n) \neq m | X_1^n = g(m)]$$

satisfies the lower bound

$$P_e^{(n)} > 1 - \epsilon.$$

Problem 5

Source-Channel Separation and Average Bit-Error Probability

Consider a combined source-channel coding scheme comprising a DMC of capacity C , a source that emits IID $\text{Ber}(1/2)$ bits U_1, \dots, U_k , an encoder that maps the k bits to n channel input symbols X_1, \dots, X_n , and a decoder that maps the resulting n channel output symbols Y_1, \dots, Y_n to an estimate of the source bits $\hat{U}_1, \dots, \hat{U}_k$. Suppose that the rate (in bits per channel use) exceeds the capacity of the channel, i.e., $k/n > C$. The task of this problem is to show that

$$\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \Pr[U_i \neq \hat{U}_i] \geq H_b^{-1}\left(1 - \frac{n}{k}C\right) > 0, \quad (2)$$

where $H_b^{-1}(\cdot)$ denotes the inverse of the binary entropy function restricted to $[0, 1/2]$. In other words, if $k/n > C$, then the average bit-error probability cannot be made arbitrarily small.

a) Show that

$$I(U^k; \hat{U}^k) \leq nC.$$

b) Justify the steps of the following computation

$$\begin{aligned} I(U^k; \hat{U}^k) &\stackrel{(a)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^k I(U_i; \hat{U}^k | U^{i-1}) \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^k I(U_i; \hat{U}^k, U^{i-1}) \\ &\stackrel{(c)}{\geq} k - \sum_{i=1}^k H_b(\Pr[U_i \neq \hat{U}_i]) \\ &\stackrel{(d)}{\geq} k \left(1 - H_b \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \Pr[U_i \neq \hat{U}_i] \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

c) Combine Parts a) and b) to obtain (2).