



## Model Answers to Exercise 13 of June 1, 2017

<http://www.isi.ee.ethz.ch/teaching/courses/it2.html>

### Problem 1

### Optimal Guessing

- a) We have  $P_X(0) = 0.3$  and  $P_X(1) = 0.7$ . From class we know that it is optimal to guess in decreasing order of probabilities, so guessing first  $X = 1$  and then  $X = 0$  is optimal. The corresponding guessing function is  $G^*(1) = 1$  and  $G^*(0) = 2$ . Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}[G^*(X)^\rho] = \sum_x P_X(x) G^*(x)^\rho = \frac{7}{10} + \frac{3}{10} \cdot 2^\rho.$$

- b) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[G^*(X|Y)^\rho] &= \sum_y P_Y(y) \mathbb{E}[G^*(X|Y=y)^\rho] \\ &= \sum_y P_Y(y) \sum_x P_{X|Y=y}(x) \mathbb{E}[G^*(x|Y=y)^\rho] \\ &\stackrel{(i)}{=} \sum_y P_Y(y) \left\{ P_{X|Y=y}(1) \cdot 1^\rho + P_{X|Y=y}(0) \cdot 2^\rho \right\} \\ &= P_X(1) \cdot 1^\rho + P_X(0) \cdot 2^\rho \\ &= \frac{7}{10} + \frac{3}{10} \cdot 2^\rho, \end{aligned}$$

where (i) holds because  $P_{X|Y=y}(1) > P_{X|Y=y}(0)$  for both  $y = 0$  and  $y = 1$ , so the optimal guessing order is independent of the side information. Observe that  $\mathbb{E}[G^*(X|Y)^\rho] = \mathbb{E}[G^*(X)^\rho]$  holds for all  $\rho > 0$  even though  $X$  and  $Y$  are not independent.

- c) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mathbb{E}[G^*(X^n)^\rho] &= \rho H_{\frac{1}{1+\rho}}(X) \\ &= (1 + \rho) \log \sum_x P_X(x)^{\frac{1}{1+\rho}} \\ &= (1 + \rho) \log \left( \left( \frac{3}{10} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\rho}} + \left( \frac{7}{10} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\rho}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

## Problem 2

## Rényi Entropy

- a) We first treat the case  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ : observe that for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $p \in [0, 1]$ ,  $p^\alpha \geq p$  holds (with equality if and only if  $p = 0$  or  $p = 1$ ). Therefore,

$$\sum_x P_X(x)^\alpha \geq \sum_x P_X(x) = 1, \quad (1)$$

and because  $\frac{1}{1-\alpha} > 0$ ,

$$H_\alpha(X) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \sum_x P_X(x)^\alpha \geq \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log 1 = 0.$$

Equality holds if and only if equality holds in (1), which is the case if and only if  $P_X(x) \in \{0, 1\}$  for all  $x$ , i.e., if and only if  $X$  is deterministic.

In the case  $\alpha > 1$ , observe that  $p^\alpha \leq p$  holds for all  $\alpha > 1$  and  $p \in [0, 1]$  (with equality if and only if  $p = 0$  or  $p = 1$ ), so

$$\sum_x P_X(x)^\alpha \leq \sum_x P_X(x) = 1, \quad (2)$$

and because  $\frac{1}{1-\alpha} < 0$ ,

$$H_\alpha(X) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \sum_x P_X(x)^\alpha \geq \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log 1 = 0.$$

Equality holds if and only if equality holds in (2), which is the case if and only if  $P_X(x) \in \{0, 1\}$  for all  $x$ , i.e., if and only if  $X$  is deterministic.

- b) Without loss of generality, we use the natural logarithm:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} H_\alpha(X) &= \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \ln \sum_x P_X(x)^\alpha \\ &= \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} \frac{\ln \sum_{x \in \text{supp}(P_X)} P_X(x)^\alpha}{1-\alpha} \\ &\stackrel{(i)}{=} \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} \frac{\left\{ \sum_{x \in \text{supp}(P_X)} P_X(x)^\alpha \right\}^{-1} \cdot \sum_{x \in \text{supp}(P_X)} P_X(x)^\alpha \ln P_X(x)}{-1} \\ &= - \sum_{x \in \text{supp}(P_X)} P_X(x) \ln P_X(x) \\ &= H(X), \end{aligned}$$

where (i) follows from L'Hôpital's rule because both the numerator and the denominator tend to zero as  $\alpha$  tends to one.

## Problem 3

## Rényi Divergence

- a) We first consider the case when an  $x$  exists with  $P(x) > 0$  and  $Q(x) = 0$ . Then,  $D(P||Q) = \infty$ ,  $D_\alpha(P||Q) = \infty$  for  $\alpha > 1$ , and

$$\lim_{\alpha \uparrow 1} \sum_x P(x)^\alpha Q(x)^{1-\alpha} = \lim_{\alpha \uparrow 1} \sum_{x \in \text{supp}(P) \cap \text{supp}(Q)} P(x)^\alpha Q(x)^{1-\alpha} = \sum_{x \in \text{supp}(P) \cap \text{supp}(Q)} P(x) < 1,$$

so

$$\lim_{\alpha \uparrow 1} D_\alpha(P||Q) = \lim_{\alpha \uparrow 1} \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log \sum_x P(x)^\alpha Q(x)^{1-\alpha} = \infty.$$

In the other case, we have  $\text{supp}(P) \subseteq \text{supp}(Q)$  and, using  $\mathcal{S} = \text{supp}(P) \cap \text{supp}(Q) = \text{supp}(P)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} D_\alpha(P||Q) &= \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \ln \sum_x P(x)^\alpha Q(x)^{1-\alpha} \\ &= \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} \frac{\ln \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} P(x)^\alpha Q(x)^{1-\alpha}}{\alpha - 1} \\ &\stackrel{(i)}{=} \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1} \frac{\left\{ \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} P(x)^\alpha Q(x)^{1-\alpha} \right\}^{-1} \cdot \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} P(x)^\alpha Q(x)^{1-\alpha} \ln \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}}{1} \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} P(x) \ln \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)} \\ &= D(P||Q), \end{aligned}$$

where (i) follows from L'Hôpital's rule because both the numerator and the denominator tend to zero as  $\alpha$  tends to one.

b) Since  $U(x) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}|}$  for all  $x$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_\alpha(P||U) &= \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log \sum_x P(x)^\alpha \left( \frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}|} \right)^{1-\alpha} \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log |\mathcal{X}|^{\alpha-1} - \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \log \sum_x P(x)^\alpha \\ &= \log |\mathcal{X}| - H_\alpha(P). \end{aligned}$$

#### Problem 4

#### *Guessing with Chosen Side Information*

a) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{G}^*(X^n | f_n(X^n))^\rho] &\stackrel{(i)}{\geq} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{Y}|^\rho} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{G}^*(X^n)^\rho] \\ &\stackrel{(ii)}{\geq} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{Y}|^\rho} \frac{1}{1 + \rho} \frac{1}{(n + 1)^{(1+\rho)|\mathcal{X}|}} 2^{n \max_{Q \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})} \{\rho H(Q) - D(Q||P)\}} \\ &= 2^{-n\rho R} \frac{1}{1 + \rho} \frac{1}{(n + 1)^{(1+\rho)|\mathcal{X}|}} 2^{n \max_{Q \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})} \{\rho H(Q) - D(Q||P)\}} \\ &= 2^{-n\rho R} \frac{1}{1 + \rho} \frac{1}{(n + 1)^{(1+\rho)|\mathcal{X}|}} 2^{n\rho [H_{1/(1+\rho)}(X) - \delta_n]} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + \rho} \frac{1}{(n + 1)^{(1+\rho)|\mathcal{X}|}} 2^{n\rho [H_{1/(1+\rho)}(X) - \delta_n - R]}, \end{aligned}$$

where (i) and (ii) follow from the lecture notes; and  $\delta_n$  is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_n &\triangleq \frac{1}{\rho} \left[ \max_{Q \in \mathcal{P}(X)} \{\rho H(Q) - D(Q||P)\} - \max_{Q \in \mathcal{P}_n(X)} \{\rho H(Q) - D(Q||P)\} \right] \\ &= H_{\frac{1}{1+\rho}}(X) - \frac{1}{\rho} \cdot \max_{Q \in \mathcal{P}_n(X)} \{\rho H(Q) - D(Q||P)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Because  $\mathbf{R} < H_{1/(1+\rho)}(X)$  and because  $\delta_n$  tends to zero as  $n$  tends to infinity, there exist  $\gamma > 0$  and  $n_0$  such that

$$H_{\frac{1}{1+\rho}}(X) - \delta_n - \mathbf{R} \geq \gamma$$

holds for all  $n \geq n_0$ . Consequently, for all such  $n$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{G}^*(X^n | f_n(X^n))^\rho] \geq \frac{1}{1+\rho} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{(1+\rho)|\mathcal{X}|}} 2^{n\rho\gamma}, \quad (3)$$

and since the RHS of (3) tends to infinity as  $n$  tends to infinity, the LHS of (3) must also tend to infinity as  $n$  tends to infinity.

- b) We partition every type class into  $k_n = \lceil \frac{2^{n\mathbf{R}}}{2(n+1)^{|\mathcal{X}|}} \rceil$  subsets. Because  $\mathbf{R}$  is positive, there exists a  $n_0$  such that

$$2^{n\mathbf{R}} \geq 2(n+1)^{|\mathcal{X}|} \quad (4)$$

holds for all  $n \geq n_0$ . Since we are only interested in the large- $n$  asymptotics, we assume from now on that  $n$  is such that (4) holds. Then, the total number of sets can be bounded as

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})| \cdot k_n &\leq (n+1)^{|\mathcal{X}|} \cdot k_n \\ &\leq (n+1)^{|\mathcal{X}|} \cdot \left( \frac{2^{n\mathbf{R}}}{2(n+1)^{|\mathcal{X}|}} + 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2^{n\mathbf{R}} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2(n+1)^{|\mathcal{X}|} \\ &\stackrel{(i)}{\leq} \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2^{n\mathbf{R}} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2^{n\mathbf{R}} \\ &= 2^{n\mathbf{R}}, \end{aligned}$$

where (i) follows from (4). Since there are at most  $2^{n\mathbf{R}}$  such sets, we can use  $f_n$  to encode the set to which a sequence  $x^n$  belongs. For a given sequence  $x^n$  of type  $Q$ , the decoder therefore learns to which subset of which type class the sequence belongs and the number of guesses is upper bounded by  $\lceil \frac{|\mathcal{T}^n(Q)|}{k_n} \rceil$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{G}^*(X^n | f_n(X^n))^\rho] &= \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})} \sum_{x^n \in \mathcal{T}^n(Q)} P_X^n(x^n) \cdot \mathbf{G}^*(x^n | f_n(x^n))^\rho \\ &\leq \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})} \sum_{x^n \in \mathcal{T}^n(Q)} P_X^n(x^n) \cdot \left\lceil \frac{|\mathcal{T}^n(Q)|}{k_n} \right\rceil^\rho \\ &= \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})} P_X^n(\mathcal{T}^n(Q)) \cdot \left\lceil \frac{|\mathcal{T}^n(Q)|}{k_n} \right\rceil^\rho \\ &\stackrel{(i)}{\leq} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})} P_X^n(\mathcal{T}^n(Q)) \cdot \left\{ 1 + 2^\rho \left( \frac{|\mathcal{T}^n(Q)|}{k_n} \right)^\rho \right\} \\ &= 1 + 2^\rho \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})} P_X^n(\mathcal{T}^n(Q)) \cdot |\mathcal{T}^n(Q)|^\rho \cdot k_n^{-\rho} \\ &\stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} 1 + 2^\rho \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})} 2^{-nD(Q||P_X)} \cdot 2^{n\rho H(Q)} \cdot 2^{-n\rho\mathbf{R}} 2^\rho (n+1)^{\rho|\mathcal{X}|} \\ &= 1 + 4^\rho (n+1)^{\rho|\mathcal{X}|} \cdot 2^{-n\rho\mathbf{R}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})} 2^{n(\rho H(Q) - D(Q||P_X))} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 1 + 4^\rho (n+1)^{\rho|\mathcal{X}|} \cdot 2^{-n\rho\mathbf{R}} \cdot (n+1)^{|\mathcal{X}|} 2^{n \max_{Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \{\rho H(Q) - D(Q\|P_X)\}} \\
&= 1 + 4^\rho (n+1)^{(1+\rho)|\mathcal{X}|} \cdot 2^{n\rho[H_{1/(1+\rho)}(X) - \mathbf{R}]}, \tag{5}
\end{aligned}$$

where (i) follows from the inequality

$$[\xi]^\rho \leq 1 + 2^\rho \xi^\rho, \tag{6}$$

which holds for all  $\rho > 0$  and  $\xi \geq 0$ ; and (ii) holds because  $k_n \geq \frac{2^{n\mathbf{R}}}{2^{(n+1)|\mathcal{X}|}}$ . (It is easy to see that (6) is valid: for  $\xi \in [0, 1]$ , it holds trivially, and for  $\xi \geq 1$ , we have  $\xi + 1 \leq 2\xi$  and therefore  $[\xi]^\rho \leq (\xi + 1)^\rho \leq (2\xi)^\rho \leq 1 + 2^\rho \xi^\rho$ .)

Because  $\mathbf{R} > H_{1/(1+\rho)}(X)$ , the RHS of (5) tends to one as  $n$  tends to infinity. Since the LHS of (5) is lower bounded by one, it follows from the sandwich theorem that the LHS of (5) also tends to one as  $n$  tends to infinity.